



**DELIVERY MODE** 

**PAPER** 

MAIL DATE

05/07/2007

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/562,846 12/29/2005 Albert Ratermann 112740-1117 29177 7590 05/07/2007 **EXAMINER** BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP P.O. BOX 1135 PAN, YUWEN CHICAGO, IL 60690 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2618

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/562,846	RATERMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yuwen Pan	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a round will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
	aror election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2005 is  Applicant may not request that any objection to t		· ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/29/05 was in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), filed on 12/29/2005.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka (US006907227B2) in view of Fujita (JP2002064512A).

Per claim 5, Fujioka discloses A method for operating a short haul radio transmitting/radio receiving system comprising: determining a maximum number of devices that may communicate with a master device; determining devices present that exceed the maximum number; switching devices that exceed the maximum number to a park mode; switching the parked devices exceeding the maximum number into an active mode, according to a predefined strategy; and switching active devices into a park mode according to the predefined strategy (see column 2 and lines 13-67). Fujioka doesn't expressly teach that at least one further device will be switched to

Art Unit: 2618

the park mode in addition to the minimum number of devices switched to the park mode. Fujita teaches that at least one further device will be switched to the park mode in addition to the minimum number of devices switched to the park mode in which only one device is kept in active mode and the rest of are switched to park mode (see abstract and figure 1). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Fujita with Fujioka's device such that it would provide the deterioration of a effective communication rate with respect to an operating time.

5. Claim 7-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka (US006907227B2) and Fujita (JP2002064512A) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Manish et al (XP-000968001).

Per claim 7, combination of Fujioka and Fujita doesn't teach that the predefined strategy is based on timeslices which are cyclically assigned to the individual devices. Manish teaches that the predefined strategy is based on timeslices which are cyclically assigned to the individual devices (see page 910, under section B UQP and PUQP). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Manish with the combination of Fujioka and Fujita such that it would provide proper parameter and fairness among all the slave devices.

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claims 8-10.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

Art Unit: 2618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anderson D. Matthew can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yuwen Pan April 24, 2007